#### **WISCONSIN'S PROGRAM ENHANCEMENT PLAN MATRIX**

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Safety Outcome 1   |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Item: 1             | Timeliness of initiating investigation.  |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child w   | velfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice.   |  |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: Goal = 1% improvement for Item 1.  | Year 2: Goal = 2% improvement for Item 1.                            |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 1- A new WiSACWIS report will be designed to track investigation completion timelines. The report will be used to establish the   |  |  |
|                                 | baseline performance level based on Q1 and Q2 results and for the quarterly progress reports. The CFSR-style case reviews described in |  |  |
|                                 | Action Step Q will also be used to provide data for the quarterly pro  | gress reports.   |  |

| Action Steps  | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties   | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| A. Improve the safety of children and the efficiency of and consistency among child welfare programs system-wide by more clearly defining the scope of child protective services (CPS) cases and the protective services access and | Scope of CPS Intervention  A.1.a Define the scope of cases requiring CPS intervention.  1) Establish workgroup consisting of BPP, BMCW, Counties, Tribes & Training Partnerships to refine the policy.   | BPP & Workgroup Partners    | Q2                             |
| assessment standards that guide workers.  | <ol> <li>Define screening criteria for CPS access.</li> <li>Define agency response to non-CPS issues (i.e. service intakes).</li> <li>Update standards on CPS response time to clarify "diligent efforts," when and how to initiate response.</li> </ol> | BPP<br>BPP<br>BPP           | Issued Q4, effective in Q5     |
|   | A.1.b Analyze WiSACWIS system design and make necessary changes to support protective service report vs. service intakes   | WiSACWIS Project Team & BPP | Q3                             |
|   | A.1.c Issue the new access program standard relating to intake of protective service reports and diligent efforts for response time.   | ВРР                         | Issued Q4, effective in Q5     |
|   | A.1.d Provide implementation training through regional roundtables for all staff with intake responsibilities and supervisors  | BPP & Area Administration   | Q5                             |
|   | A.1.e Integrate policies/criteria into pre-<br>service/foundation/ongoing Training<br>Partnership curricula.   | BPP &Training Partnerships  | Q6                             |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties         | Benchmark Achievement Dates |
|--------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| A. (Cont.)   | Multiple Reports/Allegations  A.2.a Develop policy for processing multiple reports of same incident or episode of alleged maltreatment and incorporate into standards.  | ВРР                               | Q4                          |
|              | <ol> <li>Establish workgroup consisting of BPP,<br/>BMCW, Counties, Tribes and Training<br/>Partnerships to refine the policy.</li> </ol>   | BPP & Workgroup Partners          |                             |
|              | A.2.b Review and revise policy on case finding determinations and incorporate into standards. Reissue the DCFS numbered memo on case findings.  | ВРР                               | Q5, Effective in Q6         |
|              | A.2.c Analyze WiSACWIS system design and make necessary changes relating to multiple reports/allegations.   | BPP, WiSACWIS Project Team & OPEP | Q5                          |
|              | A.2.d Provide technical assistance and consultation to CPS supervisors in county agencies through regional roundtables upon issuance of standards/policy to assure understanding and assist with implementation at the local level. | BPP & Area Administration         | Q6                          |
|              | A.2.e Integrate the criteria and policies into foundation/ongoing Training Partnership curricula.   | BPP & Training Partnerships       | Q7                          |
|              | Measurement A.3. Develop WiSACWIS report to measure Timeliness to Investigation.  | OPEP & WiSACWIS Project Team      | Q1                          |

10/04: DCFS will request technical assistance from the National Resource Center on Child Maltreatment to assist in developing the access standard and policy on multiple referrals.

10/04: The access standard under Step A.1 and the multiple referral policy under Step A.2 will first issued as separate DCFS policy memos and later included in the comprehensive revision of the CPS Investigation Standards.

10/04: For Step A.2, include information related to multiple findings and the naming of a maltreater into the policy.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Safety Outcome 2  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| <b>Performance Item: 3</b>      | Services to family to protect children in home and prevent removal.   |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 1) Help families strengthen their capacity to provide a safe and nurturing environment for their children.                                |  |  |
|                                 | 3) Strengthen and diversify the child welfare workforce and build our capacity to serve families and keep children safe.                  |  |  |
|                                 | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |  |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice   |  |  |
|                                 | 5) Collaborate with agencies and systems to improve family access to services that ensure children are safe and healthy.                  |  |  |
|                                 | 6) Improve the quality and usefulness of information needed to evaluate the safety, permanence and well being of children.                |  |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: Goal = 1% improvement for Item 3. Year 2: Goal = 2% improvement for Item 3.   |  |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 3 - A limited case review will be conducted to collect data that will be used along with CFSR results for Item 3 to establish the    |  |  |
|                                 | baseline performance level. For quarterly progress reports, a new WiSACWIS report will be developed to track the delivery of safety-      |  |  |
|                                 | related services. The CFSR-style case reviews described in Action Step Q will also be used to provide data for the quarterly progress     |  |  |
|                                 | reports.  |  |  |

| Action Steps  | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties                        | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| B. Increase our ability to help children remain safely at home by updating policy and expanding training and technical assistance on safety assessment and safety planning. | Safety Assessment and Planning  B.1.a Update CPS Investigation and Ongoing Service Standards around safety assessment and safety planning to include assessment of parental protective capacities.   |  | Q3                             |
|   | Establish workgroup including BPP,     BMCW, Counties, Tribes, and other     stakeholders to refine the policy.  | BPP & Workgroup Partners                         |                                |
|   | B.1.b Develop and issue comprehensive instructions for documenting safety assessments and safety plans.  | BPP  | Q4                             |
|   | B.1.c Refine safety assessment tools, if necessary, within WiSACWIS.   | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team                      | Q5                             |
|   | B.1.d Expand safety training curricula for child welfare staff and supervisors to reflect changes in standards and support skills necessary to develop, implement, and monitor effective safety plans. Develop new ongoing and/or core course. | BPP, Training Partnerships, & other stakeholders | Q8                             |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties                                 | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
| B. (cont.)   | B.1.e Provide ongoing technical assistance and consultation to county child welfare supervisors to assure understanding and assist with implementation at the local level. | BPP & Area Administration                                 | Q5                             |
|              | Measurement B.2 Develop data sources for measurement: 1) Develop WiSACWIS report. 2) Conduct limited case review.  | OPEP & WiSACWIS Project Team<br>BPP, OPEP & QA Contractor | Q1<br>Q3                       |

10/04: DCFS will request technical assistance from the National Resource Center on Child Maltreatment to assist in policy development related to safety assessment and safety planning.

10/04: DCFS will first issue the comprehensive instructions for documenting safety assessments and safety plans as a policy memo and later include this information into the comprehensive revisions of the CPS Investigation and Ongoing Services Standards.

10/04: Work in this area will include greater emphasis on recognizing and addressing domestic violence, mental health, substance abuse and other issues.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Permanency Outcome #1   |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Performance Item: 6             | Stability of Foster Care Placement  |   |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 2) Improve Wisconsin's capacity to provide quality foster care to children when they cannot be safe at home.                              |   |  |
|                                 | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |   |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice   |   |  |
|                                 | 6) Improve the quality and usefulness of information needed to eval   | uate the safety, permanence and well being of children. |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: Use national standard for Item 6.   | Year 2: Use national standard for Item 6.               |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 6 - The existing WiSACWIS report, Placement Stability, being used for the national standard on Placement Stability will also be      |   |  |
|                                 | used to measure improvement for this item.  |   |  |

| Action Steps                              | Benchmark Tasks                               | Responsible Party/Parties     | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| C. Stabilize placements for children in   | Re-entry to Placement                         |                               | Q3                             |
| foster care and reduce the actual and     | C.1.a Define core factors affecting re-entry  |                               |                                |
| statistical re-entry of children into the | 1) Study populations and counties that        | OPEP                          |                                |
| foster care system.                       | drive high re-entry rates                     |                               |                                |
| •   | 2) Develop a review tool to determine re-     | OPEP                          |                                |
|   | entry reasons.                                |                               |                                |
|   | 3) Conduct limited case reviews in the        | BPP, QA contractor & Area     |                                |
|   | "Driver Counties" to determine the            | Administration with BMCW &    |                                |
|   | reasons for re-entry into OOHC.               | Counties                      |                                |
|   | 4) Analyze the results of the case reviews to | OPEP                          |                                |
|   | identify program implications.                |                               |                                |
|   | C.1.b Using the case review analysis:         |                               |                                |
|   | 1) Establish a workgroup consisting of BPP,   |                               |                                |
|   | BMCW, OPEP, Counties, Director of             | BPP, BMCW, OPEP & Workgroup   | Q4                             |
|   | State Courts Office (DSCO), and tribes        | Partners                      |                                |
|   | to draft a Trial Home Visit policy.           |                               |                                |
|   | 2) Develop a DCFS Memo to issue the Trial     | BPP & OPEP                    | Q4                             |
|   | Home Visit policy to guide the use of         |                               |                                |
|   | Trial Home Visits to reduce re-entry.         |                               |                                |
|   | 3) Implement the policy, including            | BPP, OPEP & WiSACWIS Project  | Q5                             |
|   | providing technical assistance and how to     | Team                          |                                |
|   | document in WiSACWIS.                         |                               |                                |
|   | 4) Include Trial Home Visit policy in         | BPP and Training Partnerships | Q5                             |
|   | ongoing training courses.                     |                               |                                |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties   | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| C. (Cont.)   | C.1.c Develop other program responses to address factors contributing to re-entry to OOHC, including technical assistance, training and system reporting instructions.  | BPP, OPEP, WiSACWIS Project Team & Training Partnerships with BMCW & Counties   | Q5                             |
|              | Placement Stability C.2.a Define core factors affecting placement stability and placement disruptions 1) Study populations and counties that drive low placement stability rates 2) Develop a review tool to determine reasons for placement disruptions 3) Conduct limited case reviews in the "Driver Counties" to determine the reasons for lack of placement stability. 4) Analyze the results of the case reviews to identify program implications. C.2.b Using the case review analysis, develop program responses to address factors contributing to instability, including technical assistance, training, and system reporting instructions. | OPEP  BPP, QA contractor & Area Administration with BMCW & Counties OPEP  BPP, OPEP, WiSACWIS Project Team & Training Partnerships with BMCW & Counties | Q4                             |
|              | Placement Handbook C.3 Complete and release the WiSACWIS Placement Handbook to better support consistency and quality of placement documentation.   | OPEP & WiSACWIS Project Team  | Q1 and update periodically     |
|              | Emergency Response Plan  C.4 Communicate the requirement for an  "Emergency Response Plan" for a child entering foster care in all Permanency Plans to prevent placement disruption.  | BPP   | Q6                             |

10/04: C.4 - The DCFS goal is to clearly articulate policy guidance in user-friendly ways. In the past, policy has been transmitted through issuance of numbered memos. Going forward, DCFS may add other communication strategies for transmitting policy guidance.

10/04: See Action Step Q.2 and PEP Narrative for more information on the limited case reviews for C.1 and C.2.

3/05: C.3 - The placement handbook was initially issued in August 2004 and updates to the handbook will be issued in February 2005.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Permanency Outcome #1   |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Performance Item: 7             | Permanency goal for child   |   |  |
| 8                               | Reunification, guardianship, or permanent placement with relat  | tives.  |  |
| 9                               | Adoption  |   |  |
| 10                              | Permanency goal of other planned permanent living arrangement   | nt.   |  |
| PEP strategy:                   | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child w  | velfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies  |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice.  |   |  |
|                                 | 5) Collaborate with agencies and systems to improve family access   | to services that ensure children are safe and healthy.                |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: Use national standards for Items 8 and 9. State goals will  | Year 2: Use national standards for Items 8 and 9. State goals will    |  |
|                                 | be set for Items 7 and 10.  | be set for Items 7 and 10.  |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 7: Improvement will be measured for state purposes using a ne  | ew WiSACWIS report. The CFSR-style case reviews described in          |  |
|                                 | Action Step Q will also be used to provide data for state measureme   | nt.   |  |
|                                 | Item 8 - The existing WiSACWIS report, Time to Reunification, bei   | ing used for the national standard on Time to Reunification will also |  |
|                                 | be used to measure improvement for this item.   |   |  |
|                                 | Item 9 - The existing WiSACWIS report, Time to Adoption, being used for the national standard on Time to Adoption will also be used |   |  |
|                                 | to measure improvement for this item  |   |  |
|                                 | Item 10 - Improvement will be measured for state purposes using the new WiSACWIS report for Item 7 that will also be used to        |   |  |
|                                 | measure other planned permanent living arrangements. The CFSR-style case reviews described in Action Step Q will also be used to    |   |  |
|                                 | provide data for state measurement.   |   |  |

| Action Steps                            | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties           | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| D. Increase the speed and effectiveness | Concurrent Plan at 6 Months  |                                     |                                |
| of placing children in permanent or     | D.1 Develop policy/procedure to require a                              | BPP, DSCO & OLC                     | Q2                             |
| adoptive homes when they can no         | concurrent permanency plan no later than                               |                                     |                                |
| longer be safe with their parents.      | the six-month permanency review.  1) Implement the policy through DCFS | BPP with BMCW, Counties & Tribes    | Issue in Q3, effective in Q4   |
|   | Numbered Memo.   | brr with blvicw, counties & Tibes   | issue in Q3, effective in Q4   |
|   | 2) Provide training and technical assistance                           | BPP, DSCO, OLC, Area Administration | Q4                             |
|   | to child welfare supervisors in Counties                               | & Training Partnerships             |                                |
|   | and BMCW and with Tribes on effective                                  |                                     |                                |
|   | concurrent planning and related practice                               |                                     |                                |
|   | issues.  | 777 0 0 4                           |                                |
|   | 3) Determine compliance with case reviews.                             | BPP & QA contractor                 | Q5 and ongoing                 |
|   | Permanency Plan Procedures   | BPP & DSCO                          | Q2                             |
|   | D.2 Develop and communicate clarification                              |                                     |                                |
|   | on definitions, procedures and content of                              |                                     |                                |
|   | initial and subsequent permanency plans,                               |                                     |                                |
|   | permanency plan reviews, permanency                                    |                                     |                                |
|   | plan hearings, including transition plans                              |                                     |                                |
|   | for Independent Living.  |                                     |                                |

| Outcome/Systemic Factor: Permanency Outcome #1 |  |                              |                                |
|--|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Action Steps</b>                            | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties    | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
| D. (Cont.)                                     | Permanency Plan Reviews D.3 Develop information materials for permanency plan reviewers.   | BPP, DSCO & OLC              | Q2                             |
|  | D.4 Develop and communicate clarification on the following issues: authority to enable TPR prior to identification of adoptive resource, application of exceptions to the reasonable efforts requirement, definitions of "difficult to place" and "at-risk" children.  | BPP                          | Q2                             |
|  | Foster and Adoptive Family Assessments  D.5.a Integrate the Foster Family Assessment and Adoptive Family Assessment into one Foster/Adoptive Family Assessment.  1) Establish a workgroup consisting of BPP, BMCW, Counties, Tribes, and Wisconsin Foster and Adoptive Parent Association (WFAPA).               | BPP & Workgroup Partners     | Q6                             |
|  | <ul> <li>D.5.b Distribute the new Foster/Adoptive Family Assessment to counties and encourage its use to license homes under Ch. HFS 56.</li> <li>1) Require use of the combined Foster/ Adoptive Family Assessment for the adoption program.</li> <li>2) Determine compliance through a case review.</li> </ul> | BPP                          | Q7                             |
|  | D.5.c Update WiSACWIS to change the Foster<br>Family Assessment to the<br>Foster/Adoptive Family Assessment  | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team  | Q8                             |
|  | Measurement  D.6. Develop WiSACWIS report for state measurement of Items 7 and 10.   | OPEP & WiSACWIS Project Team | Q1                             |

10/04: D.1 - Also see Action Step N and Benchmark O.3.

10/04: The policy on concurrent planning and other policies related to permanency planning will be first issued as a separate policy and then included in Ch. HFS 44.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Permanency Outcome 2  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Performance Item: 12            | Placement with Siblings   |  |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 2) Improve Wisconsin's capacity to provide quality foster care to children when they cannot be safe at home.                              |  |  |  |
|                                 | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |  |  |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice.  |  |  |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: State goal will be set for Item 12. Year 2: State goal will be set for Item 12.   |  |  |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 12 Improvement will be measured for state purposes using a limited case review and the CFSR-style case reviews described in          |  |  |  |
|                                 | Action Step Q.  |  |  |  |

| Action Steps   | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties   | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| E. Maintain and support family connections by updating and implementing policies on sibling placement. | Case Documentation  E.1.a Issue guidance/policy for clearly documenting efforts and reasons for not placing siblings together when placement as a group is not possible.  1) Identify location in WiSACWIS for documenting placement efforts. | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team | Q3                             |
|  | E.1.b Implement policy through standard training/orientation and technical assistance with child welfare supervisors on current laws.   | BPP                         | Q3                             |
|  | Placement Incentive E.2 Explore use of exceptional rate structure to promote sibling group placement.   | BPP & BMCW                  | Q3                             |
|  | Number of Children  E.3 Develop a strategy for recruiting foster families willing to take sibling groups.  1) Propose the elimination of the provision to limit to 6 the number of children in  | BPP                         | Q4                             |
|  | foster homes to accommodate placement of siblings.  2) Revise Adm. Rule Ch. HFS 56 and submit for legislative review and approval   |                             | Q5                             |
|  | 3) If approved, issue the revised rule and purpose of the revision to foster care coordinators, foster parents groups, judges and other child placing agencies, as well as local child welfare agencies.                                      |                             | Q7                             |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks                            | Responsible Party/Parties | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| E. (Cont.)   | Measurement                                | BPP, OPEP & QA contractor | Q3                             |
|              | E.4. Develop data sources for measurement. |                           |                                |
|              | 1) Conduct limited case review.            |                           |                                |

10/04: E.1 Define what activities demonstrate sufficient effort to place siblings together in policy.

10/04: E.3 The allowance for exceeding the limit of 6 children in a foster home would be provided only for the purpose of a foster parent accepting a large sibling group.

10/04: DCFS is participating in the Adopt US Kids initiative that includes an effort to recruit families to take groups of siblings.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Permanency Outcome 2  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Performance Item: 13            | Visiting with parents and siblings  |  |  |  |
| 14                              | Preserving connections  |  |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 1) Help families strengthen their capacity to provide a safe and nurt   | 1) Help families strengthen their capacity to provide a safe and nurturing environment for their children. |  |  |
|                                 | 2) Improve Wisconsin's capacity to provide quality foster care to chi   | ildren when they cannot be safe at home.   |  |  |
|                                 | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |  |  |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice.  |  |  |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: State goals will be set for Items 13 and 14.  | Year 2: State goals will be set for Items 13 and 14.   |  |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 13 – Improvement will be measured for state purposes using a   | limited case review and the CFSR-style case reviews described in   |  |  |
|                                 | Action Step Q.  |  |  |  |
|                                 | Item 14 - Improvement will be measured for state purposes using a limited case review and the CFSR-style case reviews described in        |  |  |  |
|                                 | Action Step Q.  |  |  |  |

| Action Steps                           |        | Benchmark Tasks                            | Responsible Party/Parties    | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--|--------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| F. Maintain and support family         | Visita | tion and Interaction Policy                |                              |                                |
| connections for children in out of     | F.1.a  | Develop a policy on visitation and family  |                              |                                |
| home care by clarifying policies on    |        | interaction that promotes interaction with |                              |                                |
| family participation in case planning, |        | mothers, fathers and siblings.             |                              |                                |
| visitation and establishing paternity. | 1)     | Establish a workgroup consisting of        | BPP                          | Q3                             |
|  |        | Counties, Tribes, and BMCW                 |                              |                                |
|  | 2)     | Recommendations for developing and         | BPP & Workgroup Partners     | Q3                             |
|  |        | implementing family interaction plan.      |                              |                                |
|  | 3)     | 15500 111011111 1101110 0100 11101110 011  | BPP                          | Issue in Q3, effective in Q4   |
|  |        | visitation/family interaction policy.      |                              |                                |
|  | 4)     | Include policy in revision of Ongoing      | BPP                          | Q4                             |
|  |        | Service Standards.                         |                              |                                |
|  | 5)     | Identify location in WiSACWIS to           | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team  | Q4                             |
|  |        | document the family interaction plan.      |                              |                                |
|  | 6)     | Provide training and technical assistance  | BPP & Area Administration    | Q4                             |
|  |        | for child welfare supervisors.             |                              |                                |
|  | 7)     | Incorporate into pre-service training for  | BMCW & Training Partnerships | Q5                             |
|  |        | foster parents and staff.                  |                              |                                |
|  | F.1.b  | Revise Ongoing Service Standards to        |                              |                                |
|  |        | promote family participation in            |                              |                                |
|  |        | developing the case plan.                  |                              |                                |
|  | 1)     | Establish a workgroup consisting of        | BPP & Workgroup Partners     | Q4                             |
|  |        | Counties, Tribes, and BMCW to draft the    |                              |                                |
|  |        | revision.                                  |                              |                                |
|  | 2)     | Issue the revised standards.               | BPP                          | Issue in Q5, effective in Q6   |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties  | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| F. (Cont.)   | F.1.b 3) Provide training and technical assistance for child welfare supervisors.   | BPP & Area Administration  | Q5                             |
|              | ICWA Notification F.2 Develop and implement statewide identification and notification procedures to assure compliance with the Indian Child Welfare Act.  1) Develop forms with Tribes. 2) Incorporate into WiSACWIS 3) Include as part of Indian Child Welfare Act training 4) ICWA Specialist to follow up with counties and tribes to assure that notification policies are being followed | BPP & Tribes BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team BPP & Training Partnerships BPP | Q2<br>Q3<br>Q3<br>Q3           |
|              | Measurement   F.3   Develop data sources for measurement.   1)   Conduct limited case review.   | BPP, OPEP & QA Contractor  | Q3                             |

- $10/04: F.1 Include \ in \ policy/standards \ attention \ to \ family/parent \ identification \ of \ traditions, \ faith \ affiliation, \ contact \ with \ extended \ family, \ etc. \ for \ their \ children.$
- 10/04: F.1 Include in policy the purpose of and opportunities for family interaction, the criteria for establishing the frequency of visitation based on the child's age, needs, etc., the activities that promote timely permanence, and the criteria for supervised and unsupervised visits.
- 10/04: F.1 The Ongoing Services Standards are also affected by Action Steps J and N. The visitation and interaction policy will be issued in Q3 and then later incorporated into the revision of the Ongoing Services Standards.
- 10/04: F.2 Provide training and other communication on WiSACWIS changes.
- 10/04: F.3 Include in Core training the policy of and process for referring children to tribes for determination of Indian status and notice for tribal involvement.
- 3/05: F.1 Included interim policy memo under Benchmark F.1.a..3 that was previously included in Benchmarks N.1.1 and N.2.1.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Permanency 2  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| <b>Performance Item: 14</b>     | Indian Child Welfare Act – Preserving tribal connections  |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |  |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice.  |  |  |
|                                 | 6) Improve the quality and usefulness of information needed to evaluate the safety, permanence and well being of children.                |  |  |
|                                 | 7) Assure the quality and effectiveness of services for children and families by regularly reviewing our programs and practices           |  |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: State goal will be set for Item 14 ICWA.  Year 2: State goal will be set for Item 14 ICWA.  |  |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 14 ICWA - Improvement will be measured for state purposes using a limited case review and the CFSR-style case reviews                |  |  |
|                                 | described in Action Step Q.   |  |  |

| Action Steps   | *  |                             | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates  |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---|
| G. Assure that all parties in the child welfare system are aware of and are acting in compliance with the requirements of the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA). | ICWA Requirements G.1 Communicate clarification of ICWA requirements to assure compliance.  1) Consult with OLC, BMCW, Bureau of Regulation and Licensing (BRL), DSCO, Department of Justice (DOJ), Counties, Tribes, and private agencies to identify methods for informing all stakeholders. |                             | Q3  |
|  | G.1.b Seek input from OLC, BMCW, counties and tribes to develop statutory language incorporating ICWA into Ch. 48 and, as appropriate, Ch. 938   | BPP & Consultation Partners | Q4 for input<br>Seek legislation in 2005-<br>2006 legislative session |
|  | G.1.c Coordinate with OLC, BMCW, Counties, Tribes and Training Partnerships to develop mechanisms for a variety of training modes (e.g., formal, web-based, distance learning) and provide training on ICWA and Wisconsin law and policies.  | BPP & Training Partnerships | Q5  |
|  | G.1.d Identify and implement WiSACWIS enhancements to assure compliance with ICWA.   | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team | Q5  |
|  | G.2. Hire an Indian Child Welfare Specialist position in DCFS dedicated to improving Indian Child Welfare statewide.   | BPP                         | Q1  |
|  | G.3 Incorporate regular monitoring of ICWA compliance into the QA process under Action Item Q  | BPP                         | Q4  |

| G. (Cont.) | Measurement                                | BPP, OPEP & QA Contractor | Q3 |
|------------|--|---------------------------|----|
|            | G.4. Develop data sources for measurement. |                           |    |
|            | 1) Conduct limited case review             |                           |    |

10/04: G.2 - The new ICWA Specialist in BPP will provide ongoing technical assistance to and monitor the compliance of counties with these requirements.

3/05: G.2 - The ICWA Specialist Cathleen Connelly was hired in January 2205.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Permanency Outcome 2  |                                       |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Performance Item: 15            | Use of Relatives for Placement  |                                       |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 2) Improve Wisconsin's capacity to provide quality foster care to children when they cannot be safe at home.                              |                                       |  |  |
|                                 | 3) Strengthen and diversify the child welfare workforce and build our capacity to serve families and keep children safe.                  |                                       |  |  |
|                                 | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |                                       |  |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice   |                                       |  |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: State goal will be set for Item 15.  Year 2: State goal will be set for Item 15.  |                                       |  |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 15- Improvement will be measured for state purposes using a limited case review and the CFSR-style case reviews described in         |                                       |  |  |
|                                 | Action Step Q.  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |  |

|               | Action Steps   |               | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|---------------|--|---------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| conne<br>home | ntain and support family<br>nections for children in out of<br>e care by searching for relatives<br>possible child placements. | Family<br>H.1 | Member Engagement Enhance efforts to identify, locate and engage family members by: Establishing workgroups consisting of Counties, Tribes and BMCW to draft the policy and tools for conducting relative search. | BPP & Workgroup Partners  | Q4                             |
|               |  | 2)            | Developing policy/criteria to define what constitutes sufficiency of effort to identify and locate relatives and when throughout the case process to pursue identification/location of relatives.                 | BPP                       | Q5                             |
|               |  | 3)            | Identifying tools caseworkers can use to help locate relatives (how to conduct a relative search)   | ВРР                       | Q5                             |
|               |  | 4)            | Implementing policy through standard training/orientation and technical assistance for child welfare supervisors.   | BPP & Area Administration | Q6                             |
|               |  | 5)            | Examining statutory barriers and enhancing practice expectations regarding engaging fathers and alleged fathers.  |                           | Q6                             |
|               |  | H.2           | Information Identify what information can be shared with relatives in order to provide appropriate care of children. Obtain legal opinion Develop and disseminate clear   | BPP & OLC                 | Q2                             |
|               |  | 2)            | Develop and disseminate clear guidelines/policy for what information  |                           |                                |

Outcome/Systemic Factor: Permanency Outcome 2

can be shared under what circumstances.

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| H. (Cont.)   | <ul><li>H.2</li><li>3) Identify what information needs to be shared with relatives that would require a statutory change.</li></ul>  | BPP                       | Q2                             |
|              | Relative Safety Assessment H.3 Develop criteria, policy and procedures for assessing the safety of a child placed in a relative home:  1) Incorporate relevant criteria from the family safety assessment.  2) Seek technical assistance from the National Resource Center on Child Maltreatment, a review of current models, written resources and other materials for assessing the safety of a relative placement  3) Issue guidance for documentation 4) Include in pre-service/foundation/ongoing training for child welfare workers and supervisors. | BPP                       | Q7                             |
|              | Relative Placement Survey H.4.a Conduct a brief survey of Tribes and Counties to determine:  1) The extent to which relatives are being used for placement of a child 2) Barriers to using relatives for placement purposes.  H.4.b Analyze survey data and take appropriate   | OPEP & BPP  BPP & OPEP    | Q3<br>Q4                       |
|              | steps to increase use of relatives for placement.  |                           |                                |
|              | Measurement H.5. Develop data sources for measurement.  1) Conduct limited case review.  | BPP, OPEP & QA Contractor | Q3                             |

- 10/04: H.1 As noted in Action Step I.1, DCFS will explore use of parent locator system for child support agencies with the Department of Workforce Development.
- 3/05: H.1 DCFS is working with DWD on access to the KIDS system for child welfare staff to access parent locate information.
- 3/05: H.1, H.3 and H.5 Benchmark achievement dates have been modified so data will be available for the workgroup under H.1.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Permanency 2  |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Performance Item: 16            | Relationship of child with parent.  |   |  |
| PEP Strategy                    | 1) Help families strengthen their capacity to provide a safe and nurturing environment for their children.                                |   |  |
|                                 | 3) Strengthen and diversify the child welfare workforce and build our capacity to serve families and keep children safe.                  |   |  |
|                                 | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |   |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice   |   |  |
|                                 | 5) Collaborate with agencies and systems to improve family access to services that ensure children are safe and healthy.                  |   |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: State goal will be set for Item 16.   | Year 2: State goal will be set for Item 16. |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 16- Improvement will be measured for state purposes using a limited case review and the CFSR-style case reviews described in         |   |  |
|                                 | Action Step Q.  |   |  |

|    | Action Steps   |              | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties         | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|----|--|--------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| I. | Enhance the role of non custodial parents and other family members as prospective placement resources by developing policies and procedures on engagement, including documentation of activities to least a dividicate and | Non-c<br>I.1 | Work with OLC, DSCO, BMCW, Counties and Tribes to identify all policies (# memos, administrative rules, statutes) that negatively impact the  | BPP & Workgroup Partners          | Q6                             |
|    | of activities to locate, adjudicate, and involve non-custodial parents.  | 1)           | involvement of non custodial parents and other relatives. Change policies, if necessary, in conjunction with the workgroup mentioned above.   | ВРР                               | Q6                             |
|    |  | 2)           | Issue revised policies and guidance for documenting effort to locate, adjudicate, and involve non custodial parents.  | ВРР                               | Q6                             |
|    |  | 3)           | Create a tool in WiSACWIS to remind caseworkers to locate/involve fathers throughout the case.  | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team       | Q7                             |
|    |  | 4)           | Work with the Department of Workforce<br>Development on the use of the Federal<br>Parent Locator System by child welfare<br>staff.  | BPP                               | Q7                             |
|    |  | 5)           | Provide training to child welfare supervisors on locating and involving non custodial parents and include policies and procedures related to adjudicating paternity and, in cases involving Indian children, obtaining an acknowledgement of paternity. | BPP, BMCW & Training Partnerships | Q7                             |

| I. (Cont.)  | Measurement                                | BPP, OPEP & QA Contractor | Q3 |  |
|---|--|---------------------------|----|--|
|   | I.2. Develop data sources for measurement. |                           |    |  |
|   | 1) Conduct limited case review.            |                           |    |  |
| Notes - Outcome / Systemic Factor:  |  |                           |    |  |
| 10/04: DCFS will use the National Resource Center for Family Centered Practice to assist with developing procedures to involve non-custodial parents. |  |                           |    |  |

| Performance Item: 17 18 Child and family involvement in case planning. 20 Worker visits with parents  PEP Strategy: 1) Help families strengthen their capacity to provide a safe and nurturing environment for their children. 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies and standards of practice. |
|---|
| PEP Strategy:  1) Help families strengthen their capacity to provide a safe and nurturing environment for their children. 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies   |
| PEP Strategy:  1) Help families strengthen their capacity to provide a safe and nurturing environment for their children.  4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies  |
| 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies   |
|   |
| and standards of practice.  |
|   |
| 6) Improve the quality and usefulness of information needed to evaluate the safety, permanence and well being of children.  |
| 7) Assure the quality and effectiveness of services for children and families by regularly reviewing our programs and practices   |
| Performance Goal: Year 1: 1% improvement for each of Items 17, 18 and 20. Year 2: 2% improvement for each of Items 17, 18 and 20.   |
| Measurement Method: Item 17- A limited case review will be conducted to collect data that will be used along with CFSR results for Item 17 to establish the   |
| baseline performance level. The CFSR-style case reviews described in Action Step Q will be used to provide data for the quarterly   |
| progress reports.   |
| Item 18- A limited case review will be conducted to collect data that will be used along with CFSR results for Item 18 to establish the   |
| baseline performance level. The CFSR-style case reviews described in Action Step Q will be used to provide data for the quarterly   |
| progress reports.   |
| Item 20 - A new WiSACWIS report regarding frequency of worker contact will be developed to establish the baseline performance level   |
| based on Q1 and Q2 results and for the quarterly progress reports. The CFSR-style case reviews described in Action Step Q will also be  |
| used to provide data for the quarterly progress reports.  |

| Action Steps                           | Benchmark Tasks                               | Responsible Party/Parties   | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| J. Ensure that Wisconsin's Ongoing     | Family Assessment                             |                             | Q5                             |
| Child Protective Services Standards    | J.1.a Revise the Ongoing Standards regarding  |                             |                                |
| and Guidelines (for children served by | family assessment and case planning and       |                             |                                |
| the child protective services system)  | convert the family assessment practice        |                             |                                |
| effectively and appropriately guide    | procedures to standards.                      |                             |                                |
| workers in assessing and responding    | 1) Establish a workgroup of counties, tribes, | BPP & Workgroup Partners    |                                |
| to the needs of children, parents and  | BMCW and Child Welfare Training               |                             |                                |
| foster parents.                        | Partnership to draft the revisions.           |                             |                                |
|  | 2) Issue revised standards.                   | BPP                         | Issue Q5, effective in Q6      |
|  | 3) Provide orientation/training and technical | BPP & Area Administration   |                                |
|  | assistance on revised standards for child     |                             |                                |
|  | welfare supervisors.                          |                             |                                |
|  | 4) Identify impact of revision on WiSACWIS    | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team |                                |
|  | J.1.b Update Wisconsin Model to reflect the   | BPP                         | Q6                             |
|  | revisions to standards, family                |                             |                                |
|  | assessment, and case planning.                |                             |                                |
|  | 1) Provide technical assistance to child      | BPP, & Area Administration  |                                |
|  | welfare staff and supervisors.                |                             |                                |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties        | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| J. (Cont.)   | J.1.b 2) Identify how WiSACWIS can support revised practice with its current Wisconsin Model formats   | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team      | Q6                             |
|              | Service Matching J.2 Improve caseworker matching of services to service needs by:  1) Giving caseworkers information about resources available in the community.  2) Incorporating into pre-service training                                       |                                  | Q7                             |
|              | how specific services meet identified needs to achieve outcomes.  3) Developing tools and information to promote creative service development  | BPP with Counties & BMCW         |                                |
|              | <ul> <li>Barriers to Engagement</li> <li>J.3.a Determine barriers to engagement of families.</li> <li>1) Conduct regional focus groups with ch welfare caseworkers.</li> <li>2) Develop actions/tasks based on the barriers identified.</li> </ul> | BPP & Area Administration        | Q4                             |
|              | J.3.b Revise training available through the Child Welfare Training Partnership courses to enhance the engagement ski of caseworkers.   | BPP & Training Partnerships  lls | Q5                             |
|              | J.3.c Provide training and technical assistance to child welfare supervisors on removing barriers to family engagement and revisions Core Training Curriculum to include methodologies for establishing and maintaining family engagement.         | ng                               | Q5                             |
|              | Caseworker Contact  J.4 Develop and implement a policy on caseworker-parent/family face-to-face  |                                  |                                |
|              | contact.  1) Establish workgroup consisting of counties, tribes, BMCW and Child Welfare Training Partnership to draft  | BPP & Workgroup Partners         | Q4                             |

| n | $\sim$ |
|---|--------|
| / | _      |

policy.

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties    | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| J. (Cont.)   | J.4   |                              |                                |
|              | 2) Identify impact on WiSACWIS  | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team  | Q4                             |
|              | 3) Issue policy and guidance for documenting contact.                               | BPP                          | Issue in Q4, effective in Q5   |
|              | Train caseworkers through roundtables and provide technical assistance to counties. | BPP & Area Administration    | Q5                             |
|              | 5) Update Training Partnership courses to increase effectiveness of worker visits.  | BPP & Training Partnerships  | Q5                             |
|              | <u>Measurement</u>  |                              |                                |
|              | J. 5 Develop data for measurement:  |                              |                                |
|              | <ol> <li>Modify an existing WiSACWIS report</li> </ol>                              | OPEP & WiSACWIS Project Team | Q1                             |
|              | regarding the frequency of face-to-face   |                              |                                |
|              | contact for use on a statewide basis.   |                              |                                |
|              | 2) Conduct limited case review.   | BPP, OPEP & QA Contractor    | Q3                             |

10/04: The Ongoing Services Standards are also affected by Action Steps F and N

10/04: J.4 - Issues to consider in developing policy include minimum frequency of contact, quality of caseworker family visits, and the purpose of visits in relation to the family assessment and case planning process as well as to the case progress evaluation process.

10/04: The policy on caseworker contact will be issued first via DCFS Memo and then later incorporated into the comprehensive revision of the Ongoing Service Standards.

10/04: DCFS will use the National Resource Center for Family Centered Practice to assist with revision of the Ongoing Service Standards.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Well-Being 1  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Performance Item: 17            | Needs and services of child, parents and foster parents.  |  |  |  |
| 34                              | Foster and adoptive parent training   |  |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 2) Improve Wisconsin's capacity to provide quality foster care to children when they cannot be safe at home.                            |  |  |  |
|                                 | 3) Strengthen and diversify the child welfare workforce and build our capacity to serve families and keep children safe.                |  |  |  |
|                                 | 5) Collaborate with agencies and systems to improve family access to services that ensure children are safe and healthy.                |  |  |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: 1% improvement for Item 17. Year 2: 2% improvement for Item 17.   |  |  |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 17, Benchmarks K.1 - K.4 - A limited case review will be conducted to collect data that will be used along with CFSR results for   |  |  |  |
|                                 | Item 17 to establish the baseline performance level. The CFSR-style case reviews described in Action Step Q will be used to provide     |  |  |  |
|                                 | data for the quarterly progress reports. In addition, the existing WiSACWIS Placement Stability report will be used to measure the      |  |  |  |
|                                 | impact of foster parent support on placement stability.   |  |  |  |
|                                 | Item 34, Benchmarks K.5 and K.6 - A survey will be conducted to determine the number of foster/adoptive parents who have complete       |  |  |  |
|                                 | pre-service and ongoing training as a percentage of total foster/adoptive parents to establish baseline data. Data will be collected on |  |  |  |
|                                 | training activities to determine the additional number of persons trained.  |  |  |  |

| Action Steps   | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties   | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| K. Increase the effectiveness of support<br>for foster parents by improving their<br>access to information, training and | Services to Foster Parents  K.1.a Hold regional focus groups with foster parents, child placing agencies, | BPP & Workgroup Partners    | Q2                             |
| resources.   | contracted service providers and county foster care coordinators to:                                      |                             |                                |
|  | 1) Identify the service and support needs of  |                             | Q2                             |
|  | foster parents.  2) Develop a profile of needs and identify   |                             | Q3                             |
|  | gaps in services and supports.  3) Develop recommendations for responding to the identified needs.        |                             | Q3                             |
|  | 4) Develop requirement for developing   | BPP                         | Q4                             |
|  | support plans for foster parents by numbered memo and later Ch. HFS 44.                                   |                             |                                |
|  | 5) Develop curriculum for and provide training on foster parent support needs for                         | BPP & Training Partnerships | Q4                             |
|  | joint trainings involving child welfare caseworkers and foster parents.                                   |                             |                                |
|  | K.2.a Seek input from Counties, BMCW, Tribes, and Foster Parents to develop an                            | BPP & Consultation Partners | Q4                             |
|  | instrument that will guide foster care  |                             |                                |
|  | coordinators and child welfare workers in assessing a child's special needs.                              |                             |                                |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties                                | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| K. (Cont.)   | <ul><li>K.2.a</li><li>1) Pilot and modify the assessment instrument, as needed.</li></ul>   | BPP & BMCW   | Q5                             |
|              | <ul> <li>K.2.b Provide training and technical assistance to foster care coordinators and child welfare workers on:</li> <li>1) General support needs of foster parents</li> <li>2) How to assess for a child's unique needs in a specific foster home and the support needs of the child's foster parents.</li> </ul>   | BPP, Area Administration & Training Partnerships         | Q6                             |
|              | Resource Center  K.3 Develop a Foster and Care and Adoption Resource Center that provides telephone support and referral, training of foster care coordinators and eventually increased training for foster parents.  1) Design Resource Center concept 2) Conduct RFP Process and issue contract 3) Opening of the Resource Center   | BPP & Consultation Partners BPP BPP with Resource Center | Q1<br>Q1<br>Q1<br>Q2           |
|              | Pre-service and Ongoing Training K.4.a Form a workgroup composed of Counties, Foster and Adoptive Parents, Tribes, BMCW and Training Partnerships to research the impact of mandating statewide foster and adoptive parent pre-service and ongoing training and determine:  1) Current percentage of foster parents attending pre-service and ongoing foster parent training (this data would be used a baseline data).  2) Capacity to provide pre-service training on a regular basis.  3) Resource needs to provide pre-service training to all foster and adoptive parents.  4) Extent and availability of current training and need for additional training.  5) Assess ability to document training | BPP & Workgroup Partners                                 | Q5                             |

participation in WiSACWIS.

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties                      | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| K. (Cont.)   | <ul><li>K.4.a</li><li>6) Identify if revisions to HFS 56 are</li></ul>   | BPP & Workgroup Partners                       | Q5                             |
|              | K.4.b Specify/define foster/adoptive parent preservice and ongoing training needs.  1) Specifically define pre-service training requirements based on competencies and identify curriculum that can be used (including PACE).  | BPP, Resource Center and Training Partnerships | Q6                             |
|              | <ul> <li>K.4.c Specify/define foster/adoptive parent preservice and ongoing training needs.</li> <li>Identify competencies that ongoing training will be based on and the hours of Specifically define pre-service training requirements based on competencies and identify curriculum that can be used</li> </ul> | BPP, Resource Center and Training Partnerships | Q6                             |
|              | <ul><li>(including PACE).</li><li>4) Identify competencies that ongoing training will be based on and the hours of required training.</li></ul>  |  |                                |
|              | <ul><li>5) Develop criteria for determining equivalencies and or exemptions for preservice and ongoing training.</li></ul>   |  |                                |
|              | 6) Modify any related numbered memos or administrative rules.  |  |                                |
|              | <ol> <li>Develop a method to consistently<br/>document training information in the<br/>foster care record.</li> </ol>  |  |                                |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties                      | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| K. (Cont.)   | Foster Parent Handbook  K.5.a Create workgroup composed of BMCW, Counties, Tribes, private agency staff, attorneys and foster parents to identify purpose, audience, and uniform content of a model handbook                        | BPP and Workgroup Partners                     | Q5                             |
|              | In conjunction with the workgroup, develop model handbook and submit for review by all counties, private agencies, tribes, legal staff, etc.  | BPP  | Q6                             |
|              | <ol> <li>Finalize and distribute model handbook.</li> <li>Communicate the requirement that         Counties and other licensing agencies develop foster parent handbooks in consultation with their foster parents.     </li> </ol> | BPP with Counties & BMCW<br>BPP & BMCW         | Q7                             |
|              | 4) Have all licensing agencies provide orientation/training on use of handbook.   | BPP with Counties, BMCW and Licensing Agencies | Q8                             |
|              | K.5.b Include in foster parent handbook information on using and accessing community resources.   | BPP with Counties & BMCW                       | Q7                             |
|              | K.5.c Revise Chs. HFS 38, 54 and 56, if applicable, to require private child placing agencies to develop foster parent handbooks in consultation with their foster parents.   | BPP & BRL                                      | Q8                             |
|              | Measurement K.6. Develop data for measurement:  |  |                                |
|              | <ol> <li>Conduct limited case review.</li> <li>Conduct survey on training.</li> </ol>   | BPP, OPEP & QA Contractor<br>BPP & OPEP        | Q3<br>Q3 and ongoing           |

10/04: K.2, K.4 and K.5 - BMCW involvement includes the Milwaukee Out-of-Home Care (foster home recruitment and licensing) contractor

3/05: K.3 – The Foster Care and Adoption Resource center contract was awarded by DCFS to Adoption Resources of Wisconsin in December 2004. Three regional Resource Center offices will begin operation in Spring 2005.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Well Being 3   |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Item: 22 &          | Physical and mental health needs of the child  |  |  |
| 23                              |  |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 2) Improve Wisconsin's capacity to provide quality foster care to children when they cannot be safe at home.                       |  |  |
|                                 | 5) Collaborate with agencies and systems to improve family access to services that ensure children are safe and healthy.           |  |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: State goals will be set for Items 22 and 23.  Year 2: State goals will be set for Items 22 and 23.                         |  |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 22- Improvement will be measured for state purposes using a limited case review and the CFSR-style case reviews described in  |  |  |
|                                 | Action Step Q. DCFS will also explore the use of Medicaid utilization data for state measurement purposes.                         |  |  |
|                                 | Item 23 – Improvement will be measured for state purposes using a limited case review and the CFSR-style case reviews described in |  |  |
|                                 | Action Step Q.   |  |  |

|    | Action Steps  | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties   | Benchmark Achievement Dates |
|----|---|---|---|-----------------------------|
| L. | Pilot managed care program in Milwaukee for children in foster care that will provide every child with mental, physical and dental health care. | Managed Care Pilot L.1.a Develop a managed care program for foster children in Milwaukee with the Division of Health Care Financing (DHCF).  1) Conduct RFP process. 2) Award contract. | BMCW & BPP with DHCF and Milwaukee community reviewers  DHCF with BMCW DHCF       | Q1<br>Q2                    |
|    |   | L.1.b Begin implementation of the managed care pilot in Milwaukee.  | BMCW & DHCF with community partner involvement.                                   | Q3                          |
|    |   | L.1.c Review preliminary results of the pilot for dental and mental health care.  | BMCW & DHCF with BPP, OPEP & Bureau of Mental Health and Substance Abuse (BMHSAS) | Q7                          |
|    |   | L.1.d Present preliminary findings to the statewide mental health workgroup and other health and dental care constituents and develop a recommendation for the balance of the state.    | BPP & BMHSAS  | Q8                          |
|    |   | Measurement L.2 Develop data sources for measurement. 1) Conduct limited case reviews. 2) Evaluate Medical Assistance utilization data  | BMCW, BPP & QA contractor<br>BMCW, DHCF & OPEP                                    | Q5<br>Q4 and ongoing        |

- 10/04: Action Steps L and M both address the process of screening and assessing persons for mental health service needs.
- 10/04: L.2 The special case reviews will focus on the differences in health and mental health services between the BMCW and the balance of the state.
- 10/04 L.2 The BMCW quality assurance program will monitor and measure the impact of the managed care program services for foster children in Milwaukee.
- 3/05: L.1 The responsibilities for tasks under Benchmark L.1 are clarified.
- 3/05: L.2 The special case reviews will be conducted in Quarter 5 as part of the BMCW comprehensive review. Statewide CQI reviews will begin in Quarter 4.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Well Being 3   |   |  |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Performance Item: 23            | Mental health needs of the child   |   |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 2) Improve Wisconsin's capacity to provide quality foster care to children when they cannot be safe at home.             |   |  |
|                                 | 5) Collaborate with agencies and systems to improve family access to services that ensure children are safe and healthy. |   |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: State goal will be set for Item 23.  | Year 2: State goal will be set for Item 23. |  |
| Measurement Method:             | The measurement method for Item 23 is described in Action Step L.  |   |  |

|    | Action Steps  |       | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties  | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|----|---|-------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| M. | <ul> <li>Work with children's mental health experts and county and tribal child welfare agencies to:</li> <li>Develop a statewide policy and child welfare worker support for the screening and assessment of the mental health needs of</li> </ul> |       | Form a statewide work group and a process for a statewide dialog to gain consensus on mental health practices in Wisconsin's child welfare system.  Recruit members, set agendas, meeting dates and timelines. | BPP with Counties, Tribes, BMCW,<br>BMHSAS, DHCF, Area Administration<br>and mental health advocacy groups | Q5                             |
|    | children who have been abused or neglected.  Create a capacity improvement  | M.1.b | Form an internal state staff workgroup to develop a capacity improvement plan for screening, assessment and treatment.   | BPP with BMHSAS & DHCF   | Q6                             |
|    | plan for screening, assessment and treatment.   | M.1.c | Host a statewide forum and regional meetings to refine the Wisconsin Model of child welfare practice in order to identify family mental health issues.   | BPP & Consultation Partners  | Q6                             |
|    |   | M.1.d | Draft and circulate proposed policies and incorporate comments from key constituents.  | BPP & State Staff Workgroup  | Q7                             |
|    |   | M.1.e | Update the WI Model to assist in Identifying possible mental health issues and issue revised instructions. Update WiSACWIS, as necessary, for changes in WI Model and related tools.                           | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team  | Q8                             |
|    |   | 2)    | Orient/train child welfare supervisors from BMCW, Counties, and Tribes.  | BPP & Area Administration  |                                |
|    |   | 3)    | Revise any related curricula for child<br>welfare case workers and provide<br>training through the Training<br>Partnership.  | BPP & Training Partnership   |                                |

# Notes - Outcome / Systemic Factor:

10/04: This action step and associated benchmark tasks will assist child welfare workers and supervisors in identifying and responding to mental health issues.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Case Review   |                                |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Performance Item: 25            | Case plan developed jointly with child's parents  |                                |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 1) Help families strengthen their capacity to provide a safe and nurturing environment for their children.                                |                                |  |
|                                 | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |                                |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice.  |                                |  |
|                                 | 5) Collaborate with agencies and systems to improve family access to services that ensure children are safe and healthy.                  |                                |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: N/A – Systemic Factor.  | Year 2: N/A – Systemic Factor. |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 25 - The same case review process used to measure improvement on Item 18 will also be used to measure improvement on Item 25.        |                                |  |
|                                 | The CFSR-style case reviews described in Action Step Q will be used to provide data for the quarterly progress reports.                   |                                |  |

| Action Steps  | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties     | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| N. Make family involvement in child welfare case planning a centerpiece of the administrative rules that govern practice and policy for children in out | Ch. HFS 44 N.1 Identify all items from PEP and 5-Year Child and Family Service Plan that should be incorporated into Ch. HFS 44   | ВРР                           | Q3                             |
| of home care. (Ch. HFS 44)  | and begin revisions.  | BPP                           | 02                             |
|   | <ol> <li>Reactivate the Ch. HFS 44 Workgroup.</li> <li>In conjunction with Ch. HFS 44         Workgroup, complete draft of Ch. HFS         44 for review by OLC.</li> </ol> | BPP, OLC & Workgroup Partners | Q3<br>Q4                       |
|   | 3) Circulate the draft to Counties, Tribes, private agencies and other constituents and solicit comments. Make revisions with the Workgroup.                                | BPP & Workgroup Partners      | Q5                             |
|   | 4) Conduct public hearings.   | BPP                           | Q6                             |
|   | 5) Identify WiSACWIS functions and revise as necessary.   | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team   | Q6                             |
|   | 6) Issue the final rule and communicate with all appropriate parties.   | BPP, BMCW & DSCO              | Issue in Q7, effective in Q8   |
|   | 7) Provide targeted training on Ch. HFS 44 to caseworkers, supervisors from Counties and Tribes as well as  | BPP & DSCO                    | Q8 and ongoing                 |
|   | court/legal staff,  |                               |                                |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks                              | Responsible Party/Parties           | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| N. (Cont.)   | Ongoing Service Standards                    |                                     |                                |
|              | N.2 Identify all items from PEP and 5-Year   | BPP                                 | Q3                             |
|              | Child and Family Service Plan that           |                                     |                                |
|              | should be incorporated into the Ongoing      |                                     |                                |
|              | /Service Standards and begin revisions.      |                                     |                                |
|              | 1) Establish workgroup consisting of         | BPP                                 | Q3                             |
|              | Counties, Tribes, BMCW and Training          |                                     |                                |
|              | Partnership to revise the standards.         |                                     |                                |
|              | 2) In conjunction with Workgroup,            | BPP & Workgroup Partners            | Q4                             |
|              | complete draft of revised standards.         |                                     |                                |
|              | 3) Circulate the draft to Counties, Tribes,  | BPP &Workgroup Partners             | Q4                             |
|              | private agencies and other constituents      |                                     |                                |
|              | and solicit comments. Make revisions         |                                     |                                |
|              | with the Workgroup.                          | DDD 6 WEGA CAMED : 4 E              |                                |
|              | 4) Identify WiSACWIS functions and revise    | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team         | Q5                             |
|              | as necessary.                                | BPP                                 | Janua in O5 Effective in O6    |
|              | 5) Issue revised Ongoing Service Standards.  |                                     | Issue in Q5, Effective in Q6   |
|              | 6) Provide training and technical assistance | BPP, Area Administration & Training | Q7 and ongoing                 |
|              | for child welfare supervisors on the         | Partnerships                        |                                |
|              | revised standards.                           |                                     |                                |

10/04: Benchmark N.1 is related to Benchmark O.3.

3/05: Benchmarks N.1.1 and N.2.1 were deleted and the task covered under Benchmark F.1.a.3.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Case Review   |                                |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Performance Item: 28            | Process for termination of parental rights proceedings  |                                |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |                                |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice.  |                                |  |
|                                 | 5) Collaborate with agencies and systems to improve family access to services that ensure children are safe and healthy.                  |                                |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: N/A – Systemic Factor.  | Year 2: N/A – Systemic Factor. |  |
| Measurement Method:             | Item 28 - Data from the Director of State Courts Office (DSCO) data along with Consolidated Court Automation Programs (CCAP) data         |                                |  |
|                                 | will be used to provide data for the quarterly progress reports. DCFS will work with the Court Improvement Program in DSCO to             |                                |  |
|                                 | compile and analyze the information.  |                                |  |

|    | Action Steps   |               | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties  | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates                 |
|----|--|---------------|---|--|--|
| O. | Move children more quickly to<br>permanency when they can no<br>longer be safe at home by<br>expediting the Termination of<br>Parental Rights (TPR) when it is | Adopti<br>O.1 | ve Resource Communicate clarification regarding the current law on proceeding to a TPR when an adoptive resource has not been identified and related issues   | BPP  | Q1   |
|    |  | O.2           | Continue to provide training for child welfare supervisors and caseworkers and judges and other court legal staff on current statutes regarding permanency reviews and hearings, Adoption and Safe Families Act (ASFA) timeline, TPR process, etc. (aka the Act 109 training). Training will be done through developed materials, technical assistance, and a variety of training formats | BPP, OLC, DSCO & contracted trainers   | Q2 and ongoing                                 |
|    |  | O.3           | Develop policy/procedure to require a concurrent permanency plan no later than the six-month permanency review.   | BPP, DSCO & OLC  | Q2   |
|    |  |               | Implement the policy through DCFS numbered memo. Provide training and technical assistance to child welfare supervisors from Counties, BMCW and Tribes on effective concurrent planning and related practice issues. Determine compliance through case reviews.   | BPP with BMCW, Counties & Tribes  BPP, DSCO, OLC, Area Administration & Training Partnerships  BPP | Issue in Q3, effective in Q4 Q4 Q5 and ongoing |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| O. (Cont.)   | Cont.)  TPR Processing O.4 Analyze TPR case processing as part of Court Improvement Project reassessment and jointly implement recommendations with Director of State Courts Office. |                           | Q6                             |
|              | Legal Services O.5 Communicate to counties a reminder of the existence of IV-E funds for legal services related to TPR.  | BPP                       | Q1                             |
|              | Measurement O.6. Work with DSCO to develop report from CCAP to monitor ASFA and TPR related court proceedings.   | OPEP & DSCO               | Q2                             |

10/04: O.3 - This Benchmark is the same as D.1. The concurrent plan requirement will be included in HFS Ch. 44, but implemented prior to full implementation of the administrative rule as described in N.1.

10/04: DCFS will use the National Resource Center on Children and the Law and the National Resource Center on Permanency Planning to develop training on permanency reviews.

10/04: DCFS will use the National Resource Center on Children and the Law and the National Resource Center on Permanency Planning to develop policy and procedure on concurrent planning.

3/05: O.1 - DCFS Permanency Consultants are communicating with counties that an adoptive resource is not required to proceed with TPR.

3/05: O.5 – The DCFS memo was revised in January 2005 and will be issued in February 2005.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Case Review   |   |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Performance Item: 29            | Notification of and opportunity to participate in hearings.   | Notification of and opportunity to participate in hearings.   |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 2) Improve Wisconsin's capacity to provide quality foster care to children when they cannot be safe at home;  |   |  |  |
|                                 | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear  | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |  |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice.  |   |  |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1 N/A – Systemic Factor. Year 2: N/A – Systemic Factor.  |   |  |  |
| Measurement Method:             | The case review process described in Action Step Q will be used to collect information about the participa custodians in hearings for the cases reviewed. To obtain additional information more applicable to determ survey process will be used to collect information from foster parents and other custodians. The case reviused for quarterly progress reports. | nine statewide performance, a   |  |  |

|    | Action Steps                           |         | Benchmark Tasks                          | Responsible Party/Parties      | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|----|--|---------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| P. | Clarify the responsibility and role of | Input 1 | <u>Process</u>                           | BPP & DSCO;                    | Q5                             |
|    | foster parents and other physical      | P.1     | Establish a process for judges to seek   |                                |                                |
|    | custodians for participation in legal  |         | input from foster parents and other      |                                |                                |
|    | reviews and court hearings             |         | physical custodians in court hearings.   |                                |                                |
|    |  | Role in | n Reviews                                |                                |                                |
|    |  | P.2     | Incorporate role and responsibility of   |                                |                                |
|    |  |         | foster parents and other physical        |                                |                                |
|    |  |         | custodians in:                           |                                |                                |
|    |  | 1)      | Pre-service training for foster parents. | BPP, & Training Partnerships   | Q6                             |
|    |  | 2)      | Training for child welfare staff         | BPP & Training Partnerships    | Q6                             |
|    |  | 3)      | Model handbook for foster parents        | BPP, BMCW & Licensing Agencies | Q7                             |
|    |  | Measu   | <u>rement</u>                            |                                |                                |
|    |  | P.3     | Develop data for measurement:            | BPP & OPEP                     | Q3 and ongoing                 |
|    |  | 1)      | Conduct survey on participation.         |                                |                                |

## **Notes - Outcome / Systemic Factor:**

10/04: P.1 – The National Resource Center on Children and the Law will be used for this task.

10/04: P.2 – The National Resource Center on Permanency Planning will be used for this task.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Quality Assurance System  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Performance Item: 31            | The State is operating an identifiable quality assurance system   |  |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child w  | velfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |  |  |
|                                 | and standards of practice.  |  |  |  |
|                                 | 6) Improve the quality and usefulness of information needed to eval   | uate the safety, permanence and well being of children.              |  |  |
|                                 | 7) Assure the quality and effectiveness of services for children and t  | families by regularly reviewing our programs and practices.          |  |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: N/A _ Systemic Factor   | Year 2: N/A – Systemic Factor.                                       |  |  |
| Measurement Method:             | The CFSR-style case review process will be used to collect information for several of the Action Steps. A special case review will be |  |  |  |
|                                 | conducted in Q2 to establish baseline performance levels for multiple CFSR performance items. The ongoing case reviews will provide   |  |  |  |
|                                 | information for the quarterly progress reports. Information about the QA process (e.g., number of reviews, number of cases, review    |  |  |  |
|                                 | results, etc.) will be included in the quarterly progress reports.  |  |  |  |

|    | Action Steps   |     | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|----|--|-----|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Q. | For the first time in Wisconsin's                      |     | ride QA Capacity  | BPP                       |                                |
|    | child welfare history, design and                      | Q.1 | Develop Statewide QA capacity within  |                           |                                |
|    | implement a comprehensive, statewide Quality Assurance | 1)  | BPP. Recruit new state QA manager and   |                           | Q1                             |
|    | program. Build on the strength of                      | 1)  | coordinator positions.  |                           | Q1                             |
|    | existing programs and support the                      | 2)  | Conduct RFP process for case reviewer   |                           | Q1                             |
|    | efforts of child welfare agencies to                   |     | contract and issue contract.  |                           |                                |
|    | maintain an environment that                           |     |   |                           |                                |
|    | encourages learning and program improvement.           |     |   |                           |                                |
|    | r  | Q.2 | Conduct limited case reviews to collect   |                           |                                |
|    |  |     | information for PEP baselines   |                           |                                |
|    |  | 1)  | Using the federal CFSR review tool and  | BPP, QA contractor & Area | Q3                             |
|    |  |     | primarily state staff and QA contractor, conduct limited case reviews in selected | Administration Staff      |                                |
|    |  |     | counties.   |                           |                                |
|    |  | 2)  | Using review tools developed in C.1 and   | BPP, QA contractor & Area | Q3                             |
|    |  |     | C.2, conduct limited case reviews for   | Administration Staff      |                                |
|    |  |     | placement stability and re-entry in the "Driver Counties."                        |                           |                                |
|    |  | 3)  | Use the limited case review results to  | OPEP                      | Q3 and ongoing                 |
|    |  |     | assist in the determination of baseline   |                           | Q5 and ongoing                 |
|    |  |     | performance levels.   |                           |                                |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties                         | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Q. (Cont.)   | Case Review Model Q.3. Develop case review model that replicates and expands upon the federal   | BPP & OPEP  | Q2                             |
|              | CFSR process and collects data needed for PEP and state QA purposes.  1) Establish workgroup including BMCW, Counties, Area Administration, Tribes, Training Partnerships, OPEP, DSCO and QA contractor to further develop the case | BPP & Workgroup Partners                          | Q2                             |
|              | review model.  2) Conduct focus groups of stakeholders that are important partners in effective child protection.   | BPP & Workgroup Partners                          | Q3                             |
|              | 3) Develop the standardized review format and review tools.   | BPP and QA contractor                             | Q4                             |
|              | <ul><li>4) Finalize state review tools.</li><li>5) Develop protocols, policies and</li></ul>  | BPP and QA contractor                             | Q4<br>Q4                       |
|              | procedures related to the review process.   | BFF and QA contractor                             | Q4                             |
|              | 6) Develop and provide statewide training and technical assistance to Counties on   | BPP, QA contractor & Area<br>Administration       | Q4                             |
|              | <ul><li>the case review model.</li><li>7) Incorporate review formats/tools into the WiSACWIS system.</li></ul>  | BPP, OPEP & WiSACWIS Project<br>Team              | Q4                             |
|              | Q.4 Work with BMCW to coordinate Statewide QA process with the BMCW QA process.   | BPP, BMCW & OPEP                                  | Q                              |
|              | 1) BMCW conduct comprehensive review for CY 2004.   |   | Q1                             |
|              | <ol> <li>Develop methods to integrate BMCW</li> <li>QA data with Statewide QA data.</li> </ol>  |   | Q2                             |
|              | 3) Include BMCW as part of the regular case reviews and special case review monitoring.   |   | Q3 and ongoing                 |
|              | Pilot QA Process Q.5.a Pilot the Statewide QA Process 1) Orient and train new QA staff to conduct CFSR-style case reviews.  | BPP, QA Contractor & Area<br>Administration Staff | Q2                             |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Task  | Responsible Party/Parties                                | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| Q. (Cont.)   | Q.5.a  2) Begin to recruit peer revitypes of reviews, includin Counties, Tribes, service stakeholders.  | ng staff from  | Q3                             |
|              | <ul><li>3) Provide training and tech for peer reviewers.</li><li>4) Conduct pilot reviews in</li></ul>  |  | Q3<br>Q4                       |
|              | Q.5.b Implement the CFSR-sty process reviewing 15 Con and integrate with BMCV comprehensive case review   | BPP & QA contractor with Counties & BMCW  BMCW           | Q5 and ongoing                 |
|              | QA Reports Q.6. Establish a workgroup co BMCW, Counties, Area Tribes, Training Partners DSCO and QA contracto effective performance reprequested reports and rela local agencies. | Administration, hips, OPEP, r to develop ports and other | Q2 and ongoing                 |
|              | <ol> <li>Design, produce and distributed agencies.</li> </ol>   | Team   | Q3 and ongoing                 |
|              | 2) Analyze and interpret dat and individual county bases  | sis. OPEP  | Q3 and ongoing                 |
|              | <ol> <li>Provide technical assistar<br/>to local agencies in desig<br/>interpreting reports.</li> </ol>   |  | Q4 and ongoing                 |
|              | Review QA results to ide improvements needed to areas of emphasis for QA  | QA process and BPP & Workgroup Partners                  | Q6 and ongoing                 |

10/04: The same QA workgroup will be used for Benchmarks Q.2 and Q.4

10/04: See PEP narrative for additional description of the Quality Assurance activities.

10/04: See Actions Steps C.1 and C.2 for more detail on limited case reviews under Q.2.2.

10/04: DCFS will use the National Resource Center for Organizational Improvement to develop the state QA process.

#### **Progress Report:**

10/04: As of the end of September, a procurement process has been conducted to select the QA vendor, The Management Group of Wisconsin in Partnership with the Child Welfare Program and Policy Group of Alabama. DCFS will begin contract negotiations with the QA vendor in October with the goal of having a contract in place by November. Once the contract is in place, the QA vendor will then begin recruitment of five (5) staff to conduct the statewide case reviews. It is expected that the QA staff will be hired by January and then oriented and trained in early 2005. The limited case reviews identified in Q.2.1 and Q.2.2 will likely be conducted in the Spring of 2005, depending on when the new QA staff are ready and the reviews can be scheduled with counties.

3/05: Q.1 – DCFS hired the CQI Program Manager Harry Hobbs in November 2004 and the CQI Coordinator Tara Miller in January 2005. In November 2004, the CQI review contract that funds 5 CQI specialists was awarded to The Management Group. Three CQI specialists were hired in January 2005 and two CQI specialists were hired in February 2005.

3/05: Q.2 – DCFS and TMG have developed a work plan to conduct the limited case reviews in May and June 2005.

3/05: Q.3 – A CQI committee of the PEP Implementation team has been formed, with 4 workgroups to address selection and preparation of counties for CQI reviews, training for reviewers including the limited case reviews, developing the CQI review protocol, and use of eWiSACWIS data for the CQI process.

3/05: Q.4 – The BMCW comprehensive review was conducted in December 2004 and January 2005. Data will be evaluated for PEP purposes after the BMCW report is completed in February 2005.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Staff and Provider Training   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Performance Item: 32            | Initial staff training  |
| 33                              | Ongoing staff training  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 3) Strengthen and diversify the child welfare workforce and build our capacity to serve families and keep children safe.  |
|                                 | 6) Improve the quality and usefulness of information needed to evaluate the safety, permanence and well being of children.  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: N/A – Systemic Factor. Year 2: N/A – Systemic Factor.   |
| Measurement Method:             | Child Welfare Training Partnership data will be used to identify the extent that child welfare staff are completing foundation, ongoing and supervisor training under the current voluntary approach to training. The Training Partnership data will be used to set both baseline |
|                                 | performance levels and for quarterly progress reports. Information collected will include an identification of caseworkers who attended   |
|                                 | classes and dates of attendance that will be compared to the total number of child welfare staff in the training region. No baseline will   |
| COLO MENTE                      | be established for pre-service training as it is currently not provided.  |

|    | Action Steps                        |         | Benchmark Tasks                                      | Responsible Party/Parties            | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates        |
|----|-------------------------------------|---------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| R. | Expand the frequency, accessibility | Staff T | <u>raining</u>                                       | BPP & Child Welfare Training Council | Q1                                    |
|    | and application value of child      | R.1.a   | DCFS will create a committee to                      | (Training Council)                   |                                       |
|    | welfare training in Wisconsin.      |         | establish minimum requirements for pre-              |                                      |                                       |
|    |                                     |         | service, foundation, ongoing and                     |                                      |                                       |
|    |                                     | D 1 1   | supervisor training.                                 | DDD 0 Committee                      |                                       |
|    |                                     | R.1.b   | The Committee will:                                  | BPP & Committee                      | 02                                    |
|    |                                     | 1)      | Review training requirements from other states.      |                                      | Q2                                    |
|    |                                     | 2)      | Identify critical training needed per child          |                                      | Q3                                    |
|    |                                     |         | welfare job tasks.                                   |                                      |                                       |
|    |                                     | 3)      | Explore options for on-the-job and                   |                                      | Q3                                    |
|    |                                     |         | distance learning training.                          |                                      |                                       |
|    |                                     | 4)      | 7 · · · 7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·              |                                      | Q3                                    |
|    |                                     | <u></u> | or "grandfathering" criteria.                        |                                      |                                       |
|    |                                     | 5)      | Recommend minimum requirements and                   |                                      | Q4                                    |
|    |                                     |         | exemption criteria to Child Welfare Training Council |                                      |                                       |
|    |                                     | R.1.c   | DCFS will promulgate a training                      | BPP                                  |                                       |
|    |                                     | K.1.C   | administrative rule.                                 | DI I                                 |                                       |
|    |                                     | 1)      | Complete draft of training rule for review           | BPP & Training Council               | Q4                                    |
|    |                                     | 1)      | by OLC.  | Bir & framing council                | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ |
|    |                                     | 2)      |  | BPP & Training Council               | Q5                                    |
|    |                                     |         | Tribes, and other constituents and solicit           |                                      |                                       |
|    |                                     |         | comments. Make revisions with the                    |                                      |                                       |
|    |                                     |         | Training Council.                                    |                                      |                                       |
|    |                                     | 3)      | Conduct public hearings.                             | BPP                                  | Q6                                    |

| Action Steps                       | Benchmark Tasks   | Responsible Party/Parties                               | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| ` '                                | R.1.c  4) Issue final rule and communicate to all appropriate parties.  | BPP & Training Partnerships                             | Q7                             |
|                                    | Social Work Curriculum  R.2. DCFS and the Training Council will create an ad hoc committee to meet with Schools of Social Work to establish a match between current academic curriculum and Training Partnership foundation training to further refine equivalencies and/or exemption criteria. | BPP, Training Council & Social Work Schools             | Q6                             |
|                                    | WiSACWIS Training  R.3.a Establish a workgroup consisting of BPP, Counties, BMCW, OPEP, Tribes, Training Partnerships and WiSACWIS Project Team to identify and define ongoing training needs related to the automated system.  | BPP & Workgroup Partners                                | Q2                             |
|                                    | R.3.b Establish a WiSACWIS Training Coordinator to manage the ongoing training program.   | BPP & WiSACWIS Project Team                             | Q3                             |
|                                    | R.3.c Develop ongoing WiSACWIS training courses and integrate system training with case practice training.  | DCFS & Training Partnerships                            | Q4 and ongoing                 |
|                                    | Training Capacity  R.4.a DCFS will develop additional options for County and Tribal access to child welfare training, including use of technology and supplemental training providers to meet pre-service, foundation and ongoing requirements for staff and supervisors.                       | DCFS, Training Partnerships & State<br>Training Council | Q3 and ongoing                 |
| Notes - Outcome / Systemic Factor: | R.4.b Expand the capacity of the child welfare training system to provide sufficient training to meet minimum requirements  | DCFS & Training Partnerships                            | Q3 and ongoing                 |

10/04: DCFS will use the National Resource Center for Organizational Improvement to enhance the training system capacity.

10/04: The expansion of the statewide training system will better support child welfare caseworkers and supervisors in assessing and meeting the needs of children and families. See the PEP Narrative for additional description of training activities.

3/05: The Ad-Hoc Committee on Child welfare Staff Development requirements was formed by the State training Council in September 2004 and began meeting in

November 2004. The Committee is identifying essential information a child welfare worker needs for pre-service training.

| Outcome/Systemic Factor: | Safety Outcomes 1 & 2, Permanency Outcomes 1 & 2 and Well Being Outcome 1   |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Item:        | This Action Step applies to multiple CFSR performance Items, including timeliness of initiating investigations; recurrence of             |  |  |  |  |
|                          | maltreatment; services to protect children in home and prevent removal; permanency planning and permanency goal achievement,              |  |  |  |  |
|                          | visiting with parents and siblings; preserving connections; relationship of child with parent; needs and services of child, family and    |  |  |  |  |
|                          | foster parents; child and family involvement in case planning; and worker visits with parents.  |  |  |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:            | 3) Strengthen and diversify the child welfare workforce and build our capacity to serve families and keep children safe.                  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 4) Assure that the expectations of families and the actions of child welfare professionals are guided by clear and comprehensive policies |  |  |  |  |
|                          | and standards of practice.  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 5) Collaborate with agencies and systems to improve family access to services that ensure children are safe and healthy.                  |  |  |  |  |
| Performance Goal:        | Year 1: See PEP narrative.  Year 2: See PEP narrative.  |  |  |  |  |
| Measurement Method:      | This Action Step is to support the implementation of other Action Steps in the PEP. The impact of this Action Step will not be measured   |  |  |  |  |
|                          | directly. The impact on CFSR performance items will be measured under other Action Steps. The quarterly progress reports will             |  |  |  |  |
|                          | provide information on implementation of this Action Step.  |  |  |  |  |

| Action Steps  |       | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|---|-------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| S. To ensure safety and permanence for children and to increase consistency                 | S.1.a | Recruit for additional policy positions in BPP to develop policy/procedure and | BPP                       | Q1                             |
| in child welfare practice, enhance the capacity of BPP to do research,                      | S.1.b | provide technical assistance.  Orient and train new staff.                     | BPP                       | 02                             |
| develop policy and provide technical assistance for both systemic and case-specific issues. |       | Use new staff for PEP-related projects.  | BPP                       | Q3 and ongoing                 |

### **Notes - Outcome / Systemic Factor:**

### **Progress Report**:

10/04: As of the end of September 2004, the positions have been reallocated, reclassified, job announcements made, and applications received for the positions. The applications have been screened to produce lists of qualified candidates. It is anticipated that interviews with candidates will occur in mid-October and new employees will hired by early November. Orientation and training for the new staff will begin in November. The new staff will be trained on all applicable federal and state laws and regulations and all policies related to the provision of child welfare services in Wisconsin.

3/05: S.1 – In January 2005, Kim Eithun was hired to lead policy development related to CPS Intake and Initial Assessment while Nicole Steger will lead policy development related to CPS Ongoing Services. In February 2005, Tracey Theise-Hover was hired for the Child Welfare Case Practice Review Consultant position. The new staff will receive orientation and attend child welfare training.

| <b>Outcome/Systemic Factor:</b> | Service Array   |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Item: 35            | The State has in place an array of services that address the needs of families to allow children to remain safely at home and     |  |  |  |  |
|                                 | achieve permanency for children in out-of-home care.  |  |  |  |  |
| 36                              | The services under Item 35 are accessible to families and childre   | en in all political jurisdictions in the State.        |  |  |  |
| PEP Strategy:                   | 1) Help families strengthen their capacity to provide a safe and nur  | turing environment for their children.                 |  |  |  |
|                                 | 2) Improve Wisconsin's capacity to provide quality foster care to cl  | hildren when they cannot be safe at home.              |  |  |  |
|                                 | 3) Strengthen and diversify the child welfare workforce and build of  | our capacity to serve families and keep children safe. |  |  |  |
|                                 | 5) Collaborate with agencies and systems to improve family access   | to services that ensure children are safe and healthy. |  |  |  |
| Performance Goal:               | Year 1: See PEP narrative.  | Year 2: See PEP narrative.                             |  |  |  |
| Measurement Method:             | A written statement describing core CPS services will be developed. Caseloads will be determined through examination of WiSACWIS, |  |  |  |  |
|                                 | the use of Random Moment Time Study data, and a survey of all county agencies. Supervisor caseloads will be measured through a    |  |  |  |  |
|                                 | survey of all county agencies. The availability of and accessibility to services will be evaluated through studying information   |  |  |  |  |
|                                 | maintained by the Divisions of Disability and Elderly Services, Children and Family Services, Public Health, and Health           |  |  |  |  |
|                                 | Financing. In addition, a survey of county agencies and clients will  | be conducted.  |  |  |  |

| Action Steps                            | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties      | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|---|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| T. Assess the capacity of the Wisconsin | Service Array Survey   |                                |                                |
| child welfare system to respond         | T.1.a Identify core CPS services necessary to                                |                                |                                |
| effectively to the safety and           | ensure safety and achieve permanency.  |                                |                                |
| permanency needs of children.           | 1) Create a workgroup comprised of state,                                    | OPEP, BPP and DSCO             | Q3                             |
|   | county, and court staff to develop a   |                                |                                |
|   | survey instrument.   |                                |                                |
|   | 2) Conduct survey of the safety and  | OPEP and DHFS Evaluation Staff | Q4                             |
|   | permanency services available by county                                      |                                |                                |
|   | to identify gaps in service availability and barriers to accessing services. |                                |                                |
|   | 3) Analyze survey results to determine                                       | OPEP and BPP                   | Q4                             |
|   | strengths and weaknesses of current  | Of Er and Bri                  |                                |
|   | service array.   |                                |                                |
|   | 4) Evaluate the availability and accessibility                               | BPP and DSCO                   |                                |
|   | of services for children and their   |                                | Q4                             |
|   | caregivers that address the underlying                                       |                                |                                |
|   | contributors to child abuse and neglect                                      |                                |                                |
|   | (e.g., substance abuse, physical and   |                                |                                |
|   | mental health, domestic violence, etc.).                                     |                                |                                |
|   | T.1.b Use survey results to collaborate with                                 | BPP                            | Q5 and ongoing                 |
|   | Counties, Tribes, service providers to                                       |                                |                                |
|   | develop strategies to fill gaps in service                                   |                                |                                |
|   | and improve accessibility.   |                                |                                |

| Action Steps | Benchmark Tasks  | Responsible Party/Parties                  | Benchmark Achievement<br>Dates |
|--------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| T. (Cont.)   | <ul> <li>T.1.b</li> <li>Work with other DHFS units (DHCF and BMHSAS) and other state agencies to improve services.</li> <li>Develop methods to target state resources to improve services.</li> </ul>  | BPP  | Q5 and ongoing                 |
|              | Workload Management T.2.a  1) Develop workgroup comprised of state and county staff to develop staff workload assessment tool.  2) Evaluate the workload of child protective services staff, including worker caseload ratios.                                     | OPEP & BPP OPEP & workgroup partners       | Q5<br>Q6                       |
|              | <ul> <li>T.2.b</li> <li>1) Develop workgroup comprised of state and county staff to develop supervisor workload assessment tool.</li> <li>2) Evaluate the workload of child protective services supervisors, including caseworker to supervisor ratios.</li> </ul> | OPEP & BPP  OPEP & workgroup partners      | Q5<br>Q6                       |
|              | T.2.c Develop methodology and necessary reports to determine staffing and caseload ratios.   | OPEP & WiSACWIS Project Team with Counties | Q6 and ongoing                 |

10/04: For service array items 35 and 36, PEP Action Steps J and K address service planning and individualized service to families and caregivers and Action Steps L and M are examples of steps that will be taken to improve the overall availability and accessibility of services. See the PEP Narrative for more information on Service Array.

10/04: Action Steps J, L and M also are related to Service Array.

3/05: OPEP has lead responsibility for Benchmark T.1. The survey will be conducted in Q4.

3/05: OPEP has lead responsibility for Benchmark T.2. The analysis will be conducted in Q6.